

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/058,496	04/10/98	MICHAUD	J 07844/273001

ROGER S BOROVOY  
FISH & RICHARDSON  
SUITE 100  
2200 SAND HILL ROAD  
MENLO PARK CA 94025

TM02/0831

EXAMINER

BASHORE, W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2176

DATE MAILED:

08/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/058,496

Applicant(s)

Michaud et al.

Examiner

William L. Bashore

Group Art Unit

2176



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William L. Bashore (703) 308-5807

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Timothy Porter (650) 233-2123

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Aug 29, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5

Identification of prior art discussed:

Mapedit

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed differences between the claimed limitations and the cited prior art. The suggestion of automatically determining a perimeter boundary for one or more non-transparent regions in a transparent frame, and automatically fitting a shape to the perimeter boundary would appear to overcome the cited art of record at the present time, however, further search and/or consideration may be needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

William L. Bashore

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.